



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,323	07/07/2003	Michael Dieter Kollmann	CA920030064US1	9355
28342	7590	06/05/2007	EXAMINER	
SAMUEL A. KASSATLY LAW OFFICE			ROMANO, JOHN J	
20690 VIEW OAKS WAY			ART UNIT	
SAN JOSE, CA 95120			PAPER NUMBER	
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2007	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,323	KOLLMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J. Romano	2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John J. Romano. (3) _____
 (2) Margo Maddux, Reg. No. 50,962. (4) _____

Date of Interview: May 25th, 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed new claim 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ullman et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative explained proposed new claim 26 (See attached) in relation to the prior art made of record. The examiner indicated that the new claim language overcame the previous 112 rejections. Moreover, new claim 26, with discussed "temporary" buffer, in combination with Applicant's argument, specifically pertaining to the logging of history level appear to overcome the art made of record. The examiner indicated that a further search and/or consideration would be necessary in regard to the proposed claim..

FAX COVER SHEET

FAX NUMBER 15712733872

FROM Margo Maddux

DATE 2007-05-24 17:00:18 GMT

RE proposed claim

COVER MESSAGE

--

Margo Maddux

Patent Agent

Patent Ingenuity, P.C.

310.584.4249 Direct

310.564-0454 Fax

www.patentingenuity.com <<http://www.patentingenuity.com>>

PATENT INGENUITY, P.C.

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	John J. Romano	FROM:	Margo Maddux
COMPANY:	USPTO	DATE:	5/24/2007
FAX NUMBER:	571-273-8372	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	3
PHONE NUMBER:	571-272-3872	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:	IBM-0220/CA
RE:	Proposed New Claim for discussion	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:	10/615,323

☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Attached please find a proposed new independent claim for your review and discussion during our telephone call tomorrow.

I look forward to speaking to you tomorrow, Friday May 25 at 1 pm Eastern time.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 310-584-4249.

Thank you,

Margo Maddux

Reg. No. 50,962

520 BROADWAY / SUITE 350 / SANTA MONICA / CA / 90401

Serial No. 10/615,323

PATENT

Docket No. IBM-0220/CA920030064US1

Newly proposed independent claim, for discussion purposes only:

26. (New) A method of automatically adjusting the level of trace data collection, comprising:

monitoring program activity occurring during execution of a computer program;

collecting trace data representative of the program activity;

writing the trace data to one or more trace records, each of the one or more trace records including a trace level associated therewith, the trace level indicating a severity of the program activity;

storing the one or more trace records in a trace history buffer, the trace history buffer being a circular buffer of a configurable size, such that trace records are written to the trace history buffer until the trace history buffer is full, at which point newer trace records overwrite older records, thereby storing a history of the most recent trace records;

determining, for each trace record stored in the trace history buffer, if the trace record indicates a high enough severity to be written to a log file located in persistent storage by comparing the trace level associated with the trace record to a predetermined threshold value, and writing the trace record to a the log file as a logged trace record if the trace level is greater than the threshold value, the threshold value being configurable;

determining, for the logged trace record, if the history stored in the trace history buffer should also be written to the log file by detecting if a trap value specific to the program activity is present, and writing the trace history buffer to the log file if a trap value specific to the program activity is detected;

determining, for the logged trace record, if a trap value specific to the program activity is not detected, if the trace record level is greater than a predetermined trace history level, and writing the trace history buffer to the log file if the trace record level is greater than the trace history level;

upon writing the trace history buffer to the log file, resizing the trace history buffer if it is determined the trace history buffer is in need of resizing; and

For Discussion Purposes Only – Do Not Enter

Serial No. 10/615,323

PATENT

Docket No. IBM-0220/CA920030064US1

resetting and clearing the trace history buffer such that storing of trace records may continue.

DRAFT

For Discussion Purposes Only – Do Not Enter